

Mission Statement

The mission of Lobit Middle School is to foster an environment where students are respectful, kind, and self-reliant in their learning.

Vision

The students of Lobit Middle School will receive an education in which learning extends beyond the classroom, creating an atmosphere of integrity, perseverance, and pride.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Priority Problem Statements	4
Goals	5
Goal 1: DISD will provide effective teaching and learning experiences for all students resulting in continuous success.	6
Goal 2: DISD will provide a physically and emotionally safe, healthy, and equitable environment.	16
Goal 3: DISD will make family and community partnerships a priority.	18
Goal 4: DISD will recruit, develop, and retain a diverse and effective staff committed to personal and professional growth focusing on student success.	21
Goal 5: DISD will provide operational services to support the success of student learning.	23
State Compensatory	24
Budget for Elva C. Lobit Middle School	25
Personnel for Elva C. Lobit Middle School	25
Title I Personnel	25
Campus Funding Summary	26
Addendums	29

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Elva C. Lobit Middle School is one of fourteen Title IA schoolwide campuses in the Dickinson Independent School District. Lobit Middle School opened its doors in August 2016. Lobit Middle School serves 483 students in grades 5 and 6, with 52% economically disadvantaged. This is a Title I School-wide Campus.

The student population is 26% African-American, 31% White, 36% Hispanic, 2% Asian, 56% male and 42% female. The staff population is 1% African-American, 85% White, 14% Hispanic, 13% male and 87% female with an average of 8 years of experience. The current teacher/student ratio is 1:26.

The average daily attendance rate for students is 96%. Lobit Middle School recorded a total of 183 office referrals, with a total of 148 discipline incidences resulting in parts of day suspensions, in-school suspensions and out-of school suspensions this year.

Elva C. Lobit Middle School serves 39 English Language Learner students (8%), 16 students in the Gifted and Talented program (3%), 40 students identified for 504 services (8%), and 90 students served through special education services (19%).

Priority Problem Statements

Goals

Goal 1: DISD will provide effective teaching and learning experiences for all students resulting in continuous success.

Performance Objective 1: 60% of students, including those in specific student groups, will perform at Meets or Masters on STAAR math and reading.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 STAAR results

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Administer and analyze district reading, math, writing, and science assessments.		Formative		Summative	
*disaggregate results by teacher *disaggregate by student groups	Nov	Nov Jan		June	
*discuss in content team team meetings and plan for interventions and the spiraling of non mastered TEKs, as guided by the four questions of the PLC process					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Instructional Interventionists					
TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools					
Funding Sources: - No Funding Required					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Using identified Essential Standards in Reading Language Arts, teachers will understand and unpack each		Formative		Summative	
standard, developing plans and instruction to ensure student mastery.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Academic Coach, Classroom teachers					
TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - Additional Targeted Support Strategy					
Funding Sources: - 255-Title IIA, - 263-Title IIIA, - 211-Title IA, - Local Funding					

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews				
Strategy 3: Using identified Essential Standards in Math, teachers will understand and unpack each standard, developing		Formative		Summative		
 plans and instruction to ensure student mastery. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Funding Sources: - Local Funding, - 199-SCE, - 211-Title IA 	Nov Jan		Mar	June		
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews			
Strategy 4: Using identified Essential Standards in Science, teachers will understand and unpack each standard, developing plans and instruction to ensure student mastery.	Nov	Formative Nov Jan Mar				
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches Funding Sources: - Local Funding, - 199-SCE, - 211-Title IA 				June		
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	1		
Strategy 5: Using identified Essential Standards in Social Studies, teachers will understand and unpack each standard,		Formative	-	Summative		
developing plans and instruction to ensure student mastery. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson Plans Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Academic Coaches and Campus Administrators	Nov	Jan	Mar	June		
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews			
Strategy 6: Monitor student progress through Content Team Time to discuss how will teachers know if students learn		Formative		Summative		
Essential Standards, how teachers will respond when mastery isn't achieved, and how teachers will extend learning when mastery is met. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Instructional Interventionists	Nov	Jan	Mar	June		
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools Funding Sources: - No Funding Required						

	Reviews		
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Jan	Mar	June
	Rev	views	
	Formative S		
Nov	Jan	Mar	June
	Rev	views	
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Jan	Mar	June
	Reviews		
	Formative	_	Summative
Nov	Jan	Mar	June
	1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Nov	Formative Nov Jan Nov Rev Formative Nov Jan Nov Jan Nov Jan Nov Jan Rev Formative Nov Jan Rev Formative Rev Formative Rev Formative	FormativeNovJanMarImage: Image:

	Reviews		
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Jan	Mar	June
	Reviews		
Formative Sum			
Nov	Jan	Mar	June
		Formative Nov Jan Image: state sta	Formative Nov Jan Mar Image: Image of the stress of the s

Performance Objective 2: 100% of students in reading and math will show one year's worth of growth on STAAR.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 STAAR Results

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Utilize TEKS Tuesdays to collaborate in content teams to unpack the TEKS, understand the depth of TEKS and	Formative			Summative
 how the student expectations must be understood to teach to the mastery level expected. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of students performing on grade level and an increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Provide focused professional development for AVID WICOR strategies in all content areas:	Reviews			Summative
 *Introduction to WICOR *One-Pagers, Quick writes, sentence stems, and focused note-taking *Essential questions, levels of thinking *Whip around, Stand/Talk/Sit, WICOR Buddies Collaboratively marking the text, writing in margins Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of students performing on grade level and an increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal AVID Instructional Team TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Provide focused walkthroughs targeting implementation of AVID WICOR strategies and best practice tools in	Formative			Summative
all classrooms, by Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in students performing on grade level and an increase in campus/district/state assessment scores				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal Instructional Coaches				
Instructional Coaches				
No Progress ONO Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 3: 100% of students in special education will show one year's worth of growth on reading and math STAAR.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 STAAR Results

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Instructional coaches will plan with RISE reading and math teachers to unpack the TEKS, understand the	Formative			Summative
depth of TEKS, connect scaffolding ideas and how the student expectations must be understood in order to plan for students in special education.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in growth of campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coaches RISE Teachers - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Provide training to classroom teachers on effective ways to accommodate classroom assignments and		Rev Formative	iews	Summative
instructional strategies	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Case Managers - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				

Performance Objective 4: 100% of EL students will show one year's worth of growth on TELPAS.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 TELPAS

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 1: Teachers will demonstrate consistent use of ELPS strategies in all classrooms which follows the district 3-year		Formative		Summative	
 plan for improved performance on PBMAS, which is supported by participation in planned district and campus professional development *academic vocabulary, brick and mortar words *7 Steps to a Language Rich Classroom Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus/district/state assessment score Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers Funding Sources: - 211-Title IA, - 255-Title IIA, - 263-Title IIIA 	Nov		Mar	June	
Strategy 2 Details gy 2: Teachers will provide instruction using research based supplemental materials, consistent use of word walls	Reviews Formative Sur			Summative	
with pictures and other vocabulary strategies in all classrooms to support academic language development Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers, Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Funding Sources: - 211-Title IA, - 255-Title IIA, - Local Funding, - Special Programs, - 199-SCE					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 3: Teachers will plan and deliver instruction using TELPAS rating outcomes, PLDs, and TELPAS listening and		Formative Sum			
speaking rubrics Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in TELPAS rating levels	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	

Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coaches - TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools			-		
^{0%} No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	

Performance Objective 5: 100% of LMS core content teaches will be ESL certified

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher certifications

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will participate in training opportunities provided by the district to prepare them for the ESL		Formative		Summative
certification exam Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in TELPAS results	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Increase in campus/district/state assessment scores				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers				
Funding Sources: - Local Funding, - 255-Title IIA				
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: No Progress	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 6: 100% of LMS staff will show their college accomplishments in their classrooms.

Evaluation Data Sources: 100% of staff display college accomplishments in their classrooms.

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: Display college plaques in the classroom		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in college awareness	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, Classroom Teacher				
Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: Promote higher level education by incorporating conversations during morning meeting, promotion of wearing		Summative		
college shirts, AVID bulletin boards	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in college awareness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, Classroom Teachers				
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	

Goal 2: DISD will provide a physically and emotionally safe, healthy, and equitable environment.

Performance Objective 1: School discipline referrals resulting in in-school or out-of-school suspension will be reduced by 25%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Monthly/Yearly Discipline incident reports.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Provide a tiered system of code of conduct violation classifications with suggested teacher responses.		Formative		Summative
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in on-task behavior increases opportunity to learn in the classroom Increase in student performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: SIT Committee, Behavior Coach, Classroom Teachers 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Participate and implement district-wide training and strategies in Restorative Practices		Formative		Summative
*Treatment Agreements in every classroom *Planned 60 Second Relate Breaks, 90 Second Sparks, and 2 Minute Connections	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in trust and relationships between teachers and students. Increase in time on-task in classrooms. Decrease in misconduct resulting in office referrals. Increase in student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Leadership Team 				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Conduct classroom guidance lessons linked to character trait of the month		Formative		Summative
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in time on-task in classrooms. Decrease in misconduct resulting in office referrals. Increase in student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Participate in activities that promote good choices, bully prevention, and conflict resolution, such as:	Formative			Summative
Rachel's Challenge Red Ribbon Week	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Kelso's Choice				
No Place for Hate				
Finding Kind				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in time on-task in classrooms.				
Decrease in misconduct resulting in office referrals.				
Increase in student performance.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor				
CIS				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: Incorporate Project Wisdom into daily messages for students	Formative Summ			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in time on-task in classrooms.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Decrease in misconduct resulting in office referrals. Increase in student performance.				
1				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 6: Provide Weekly Character Strong lesson		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in trust and relationships between teachers and students. Increase in time on-task in classrooms.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Decrease in misconduct resulting in office referrals.				
Increase in student performance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, Classroom teachers				
Suit responsible for monitoring. Counselor, Classroom cachers				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 3: DISD will make family and community partnerships a priority.

Performance Objective 1: Student attendance will meet or exceed 97%

Evaluation Data Sources: Monthly reports/End of year reports of student attendance.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide parent education on communication tools during Meet the Teacher Night, first day packets, Parent		Formative		Summative
Orientation, and teacher web pages	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent engagement				
Increase in student attendance				
Increase in student performance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Office Staff, Classroom Teachers				
Funding Sources: - 211-Title IA, - Local Funding				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Promote parent involvement through		Formative		Summative
*Title 1 Parent Meeting	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
*Parent Orientation	1101			
*Parent University sessions				
*Leviacon				
*Open House				
*Monthly "Upcoming Events" newsletters				
*Teacher Web Pages				
*Sunshine calls - BOY, MOY, and EOY				
*timely information delivered on child's assessment results				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent involvement				
Increase in student performance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers				
Funding Sources: - 211-Title IA, - Local Funding				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Promote parent involvement through parent-teacher conferences that create a compact between the school and		Formative		Summative
the parent to ensure student success and there the school provides information in a language parents can understand Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent involvement Increase in student attendance	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers				
Funding Sources: - Local Funding				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: The campus will make available the English version of the Parent and Family Engagement Policy by posting it				Summative
in the Student Handbook and making it available in the Campus Library. The campus needs assessment and campus improvement plan can be found on the campus website, as well as the campus library, and the District Administration	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Building in an effort to build parent engagement. Spanish translation of all documents are available upon request.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent involvment				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Terri Bruce				
Assistant Principal, Nancy Segura				
Counselor, Patrice Carhart Teacher, Christy Littleton				
Teacher, LaWanda Williams				
Parent, Larissa Alvarado				
Business Partner, Mary Bass				
Strategy 5 Details		Res	views	
Strategy 5: Provide transition plans for students entering LMS from private schools or home school environements		Formative	10.03	Summative
*incorporate buddy system for new enrollees	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
*Public School 101 protocol	INUV	Jan	Iviai	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student attendance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor				
Funding Sources: - 211-Title IA, - Local Funding				

Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Provide opportunities for Junior High counselors to discuss junior high expectations and courses available to		Formative		Summative
students and parents.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student attendance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, JH Counselor Funding Sources: - 211-Title IA, - Local Funding				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 4: DISD will recruit, develop, and retain a diverse and effective staff committed to personal and professional growth focusing on student success.

Performance Objective 1: Maintain a teacher turnover rate under 5%

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of teachers retained at the end of the school year.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Assist new teachers in acquiring an understanding of the district curriculum as well as lesson planning through:	Formative Nov Jan Mar			Summative
*introduction to grade level curriculum, scope and sequence, TEKS and STAAR objectives *in-depth look at curriculum, curriculum calendars, TEKS and STAAR objectives	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
*discussion on differentiation teaching of GT, IEP modifications, and 504 accommodations *learning walks				
*peer observations				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in understanding of grade level expectations Increase in student performance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal, Counselor, Mentor Teachers				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Funding Sources: - Local Funding				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Any new teacher will participate in the "Protege"		Formative		Summative
program provided by the district with support from a mentor teacher located on that campus. Second year teachers still in need of a mentor will join the district's NaviGator program for additional support.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher understanding of campus and district procedures Lower teacher turnover rate				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Professional Development				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Funding Sources: - 255-Title IIA, - Local Funding				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Offer a network of support to new teachers and mentors by:		Formative		Summative	
*informal meetings with principal	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
*meeting with mentor to discuss various topics					
*grade level meetings					
*campus PLCs *district and campus professional development					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher understanding of campus and district procedures Lower teacher turnover rate					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers					
Start Responsible for Monitoring. Thirdpan, Assistant Thirdpan, Classicolin Teachers					
Funding Sources: - Local Funding, - 255-Title IIA					
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			•	
Strategy 4: Survey staff at mid year to determine areas of interest for summer staff development and at the end of the year	Formative Summa				
to determine fall staff development	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff development will be relevant to the needs of the teachers					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 5: Provide staff shout-outs throughout the school year, Holiday Fun Events, and Team Bonding Experiences		Formative		Summative	
*birthday shout-outs	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
*pass a smile notebook					
*perfect attendance shout-out *employee of the month shout out					
*holiday planned fun					
*quarterly pot luck					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher feelings of worth					
lower staff turnover					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hospitality Committee of Teachers					
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	l	I		

Goal 5: DISD will provide operational services to support the success of student learning.

Performance Objective 1: Through efficient and effective management of resources and operations, campus support will be maximized.

Evaluation Data Sources: Balanced budget maintained for 2020-2021 to provide the resources and personnel necessary to assist campuses as they strive to meet State and Federal standards of achievement for all students.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Principal and secretary will work with the district business office to ensure all monies are appropriately spent.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will have appropriate resources	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Increase in student performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Secretary				
Stan Responsible for Monitoring. I micipal, Secretary				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		1

State Compensatory

Budget for Elva C. Lobit Middle School

Total SCE Funds: \$0.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 3.42 **Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs**

Personnel for Elva C. Lobit Middle School

Name	Position	<u>FTE</u>
Allen, J	Social Worker	0.08
Arredondo, N	Paraprofessional	0.17
Jenkins, J	Paraprofessional	0.17
Landolt, J.	Instructional Support	1
Schumann, M.	Instructional Support Paraprofessional	1
Vanaken, D	Instructional Support	1

Title I Personnel

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>
Schumann, L	Instructional Coach		1

Campus Funding Summary

1 1 2 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 4 1 1 9 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 2 3 1 1 3 1 2 3 1 5 3 1 6 Sub-Total Sub-Total Colspan="2">Sub-Total 1 1 2 Sub-Total Colspan="2">Sub-Total 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 9 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 4 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					211-Title IA		
1 1 3	Strat	Objective	Goal	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount	
1 1 4 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 2 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 5 1 <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>\$0.00</td></t<>	2	1	1	2		\$0.00	
1 1 9 1 1 9 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 4 2 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 2 1 1 1 1 3 1 5 1 1 1 1 3 1 6 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 6 1	3	1	1	3		\$0.00	
1 4 1 1 1 1 4 2	4	1	1	4		\$0.00	
1 4 2	9	1	1	9		\$0.00	
3 1 1 1 1 3 1 2 1	1	4	1	1		\$0.00	
3 1 2 1 1 3 1 5 1 6 1 1 3 1 6 1	2	4	1	2		\$0.00	
3 1 5	1	1	3	1		\$0.00	
3 1 6 3 1 6 Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Goal Objective Strategy Resources Needed Account Code A 1 1 2 Account Code A 1 1 9 Account Code A 1 4 1 9 A 1 4 1 9 A 1 4 1 9 A 1 5 1 A A 4 1 2 A 4 1 3 A A 1 1 3 A A A 1 1 3 A	2	1	3	2		\$0.00	
Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total Coal Objective Strategy Resources Needed Account Code A 1 1 2 A 1 1 9	5	1	3	5		\$0.00	
Z55-Title IIA Account Code A 1 1 2 Account Code A 1 1 2 Account Code A 1 1 9 Account Code A 1 4 1 Account Code A 1 4 1 Account Code A 1 4 1 Account Code A 1 5 1 Account Code A 4 1 2 Account Code A 4 1 3 Account Code A 1 3 Account Code A	6	1	3	6		\$0.00	
Goal Objective Strategy Resources Needed Account Code A 1 1 2	Sub-Total						
1 1 2					255-Title IIA		
1 1 9	Strat	Objective	Goal	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount	
1 4 1	2	1	1	2		\$0.00	
1 4 2	9	1	1	9		\$0.00	
1 5 1	1	4	1	1		\$0.00	
4 1 2	2	4	1	2		\$0.00	
4 1 3 Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total 199-SCE Goal Objective Strategy Resources Needed Account Code A 1 1 3	1	5	1	1		\$0.00	
Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-Total 1 0 1 3	2	1	4	2		\$0.00	
199-SCE Goal Objective Strategy Resources Needed Account Code A 1 1 3	3	1	4	3		\$0.00	
GoalObjectiveStrategyResources NeededAccount CodeA113					Sub-Total	\$0.00	
$\begin{array}{c c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ \end{array}$					199-SCE		
	Strat	Objective	Goal	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount	
	3	1	1	3		\$0.00	
1 1 4	4	1	1	4		\$0.00	
1 1 9	9	1	1	9		\$0.00	
1 4 2	2	4	1	2		\$0.00	

			199-SCE		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed A	ccount Code	Amount
		· · ·		Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Local Funding		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed A	ccount Code	Amount
1	1	2			\$0.00
1	1	3			\$0.00
1	1	4			\$0.00
1	1	9			\$0.00
1	4	2			\$0.00
1	5	1			\$0.00
3	1	1			\$0.00
3	1	2			\$0.00
3	1	3			\$0.00
3	1	5			\$0.00
3	1	6			\$0.00
4	1	1			\$0.00
4	1	2			\$0.00
4	1	3			\$0.00
				Sub-Total	\$0.00
			263-Title IIIA		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed A	ccount Code	Amount
1	1	2			\$0.00
1	1	9			\$0.00
1	4	1			\$0.00
				Sub-Total	\$0.00
		,	Special Programs		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed A	ccount Code	Amount
1	4	2			\$0.00
				Sub-Total	\$0.00

No Funding Required												
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount								
1	1	1		\$0.00								
1	1	6		\$0.00								
1	1	7		\$0.00								
1	1	8		\$0.00								
	Sub-Total											

Addendums

Student Demographics	Count	Percent
Female	<u>203</u>	42.03%
Male	<u>280</u>	57.97%
Hispanic-Latino	<u>175</u>	36.23%
American Indian - Alaskan Native	<u>2</u>	0.41%
Asian	<u>10</u>	2.07%
Black - African American	<u>125</u>	25.88%
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	0	0.00%
White	<u>152</u>	31.47%
Two-or-More	<u>19</u>	3.93%

Campus Prorams	Count	Percentage
Economically Disadvantaged	<u>252</u>	52.17%
Limited English Proficient (LEP)	<u>39</u>	8.07%
Section 504	<u>40</u>	8.28%
Gifted and Talented	<u>16</u>	3.31%
Special Education (SPED)	<u>90</u>	18.63%
Title I Participation	<u>483</u>	100.00%
Homeless	10	2.07%

		May 2019	STAAR M	athematic	s, Grade 4		19-20 Grade 5 Math PSBM							
	Students	Raw Score	Percent Score	App GL	Meets	Masters	Students	Raw Score	Percent Score	App GL	Meets	Masters		
ELVA C. LOBIT MIDDLE SCHOOL	213	24	69.40%	84.04%	60.56%	34.74%	225	20	55.74%	67.11%	29.78%	13.78%		
Economic Disadvantage	100	23	66.98%	81%	57%	34%	110	19	51.39%	60%	25.45%	7.27%		
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	25	74%	100%	100%	0%	1	27	75%	100%	100%	0%		
Asian	5	31	91%	100%	100%	80%	5	30	82.20%	100%	80%	60%		
Black/African American	46	20	58.67%	65.22%	36.96%	21.74%	53	17	46.55%	49.06%	20.75%	3.77%		
Hispanic	83	24	69.83%	90.36%	63.86%	30.12%	82	20	54.68%	67.07%	24.39%	8.54%		
Two or More Races	9	25	74.67%	100%	66.67%	44.44%	10	23	64.60%	80%	40%	30%		
White	69	25	73.72%	85.51%	68.12%	44.93%	74	22	60.26%	75.68%	36.49%	21.62%		
Female	85	23	67.21%	83.53%	55.29%	23.53%	86	20	55.43%	67.44%	25.58%	10.47%		
Male	128	24	70.86%	84.38%	64.06%	42.19%	139	20	55.94%	66.91%	32.37%	15.83%		
LEP	17	25	72%	94.12%	58.82%	41.18%	19	19	53.84%	52.63%	31.58%	15.79%		
Second Year of Monitoring	1	29	85%	100%	100%	100%	1	28	78%	100%	100%	0%		
Special Ed Indicator	37	19	56.22%	62.16%	37.84%	16.22%	38	15	40.71%	34.21%	10.53%	2.63%		

		May 2	019 STAAR	Reading, G	irade 4		19-20 GR5 ELA PSBM								
	Total Students	Raw Score	Percent Score	App GL	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Raw Score	Percent Score	App GL	Meets	Masters			
ELVA C. LOBIT MIDDLE SCHOOL	214	24	65.83%	78.50%	40.65%	17.29%	227	24	63.63%	63.88%	37.44%	15.86%			
Economic Disadvantage	101	23	64.11%	76.24%	35.64%	15.84%	112	23	61.57%	59.82%	34.82%	13.39%			
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	24	67%	100%	0%	0%	1	31	82%	100%	100%	0%			
Asian	5	26	72.80%	80%	60%	40%	5	30	80%	100%	80%	60%			
Black/African American	46	21	57.26%	58.70%	23.91%	6.52%	52	22	57.69%	50%	21.15%	7.69%			
Hispanic	84	23	64.62%	79.76%	35.71%	11.90%	84	23	60.45%	60.71%	32.14%	9.52%			
Two or More Races	9	25	70.44%	77.78%	55.56%	33.33%	10	25	66.90%	70%	40%	10%			
White	69	26	71.90%	89.86%	55.07%	27.54%	75	26	69.55%	73.33%	50.67%	26.67%			
Female	85	24	67.81%	84.71%	41.18%	20%	88	25	65.19%	65.91%	35.23%	17.05%			
Male	129	23	64.53%	74.42%	40.31%	15.50%	139	24	62.65%	62.59%	38.85%	15.11%			
LEP	18	23	63.94%	83.33%	27.78%	5.56%	20	22	57.30%	50%	35%	20%			
Special Ed Indicator	38	19	53.42%	50%	18.42%	10.53%	39	18	47.79%	30.77%	20.51%	5.13%			

	Fa	all 2019 NV	VEA Gro	wth: Math	n 2-5 TX 20	12, Grade	5	Wii	nter 2019 N	NWEA G	rowth: Ma	th 2-5 TX 2	2012, Grad	le 5
	Total		0/	STAAR Projected Proficiency				Total		0/	STA	TAAR Projected Proficiency		
	Students	RIT Score	%	Did Not Meet	App GL	Meets	Masters	Students '	RIT Score	%	Did Not Meet	App GL	Meets	Masters
ELVA C. LOBIT MIDDLE SCHOOL	230	210.2	48.33	20.43%	36.96%	33.48%	9.13%	236	211.7	41.3	25%	45.76%	22.03%	7.20%
Economic Disadvantage	111	207.1	42.62	27.03%	37.84%	29.73%	5.41%	119	208.46	35.76	32.77%	46.22%	18.49%	2.52%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	222	75	0%	0%	100%	0%	1	222	62	0%	0%	100%	0%
Asian	5	223.8	75.6	0%	20%	20%	60%	5	224.4	64.8	0%	40%	20%	40%
Black/African American	53	203.09	37.25	43.40%	22.64%	30.19%	3.77%	54	205.76	29.81	40.74%	44.44%	14.81%	0%
Hispanic	85	208.19	43.25	22.35%	45.88%	29.41%	2.35%	87	209.86	36.59	25.29%	55.17%	18.39%	1.15%
Two or More Races	10	217.5	62.8	0%	20%	60%	20%	11	216.27	49	18.18%	45.45%	18.18%	18.18%
White	76	215.39	57.68	6.58%	40.79%	36.84%	15.79%	78	216.27	51.65	16.67%	37.18%	30.77%	15.38%
Female	92	209.87	47.93	16.30%	46.74%	32.61%	4.35%	94	212.44	40.91	20.21%	55.32%	21.28%	3.19%
Male	138	210.42	48.59	23.19%	30.43%	34.06%	12.32%	142	211.21	41.56	28.17%	39.44%	22.54%	9.86%
LEP	18	207	40	33.33%	38.89%	16.67%	11.11%	20	209.75	35.3	35%	50%	5%	10%
Second Year of Monitoring	1	225	81	0%	0%	100%	0%	1	230	80	0%	0%	100%	0%
Special Ed Indicator	43	197.16	25.23	62.79%	23.26%	11.63%	2.33%	46	197.43	20.74	58.70%	34.78%	4.35%	2.17%

	Fal	l 2019 NW	/EA Grov	vth: Readii	ng 2-5 TX 2	2017, Grad	Wint	er 2019 N	WEA Gro	owth: Read	ling 2-5 TX	2017, Gra	ide 5	
	Total	RIT		STA	AR Project	ed Profici	ency	Total	RIT		STA	AR Project	ed Profici	ency
	Students	Score	%	Did Not Meet	App GL	Meets	Masters	Students	Score	%	Did Not Meet	App GL	Meets	Masters
ELVA C. LOBIT MIDDLE SCHOOL	232	204.41	48.69	24.57%	42.24%	21.12%	12.07%	237	205	42.6	32.91%	42.19%	16.46%	8.44%
Economic Disadvantage	113	203.27	46.83	29.20%	38.05%	21.24%	11.50%	121	203.03	40.31	35.54%	40.50%	17.36%	6.61%
American Indian/Alaskan	1	202	39	0%	100%	0%	0%	1	210	50	0%	100%	0%	0%
Asian	5	217	74	0%	20%	40%	40%	5	218.4	70.4	0%	20%	40%	40%
Black/African American	52	198.63	38.06	38.46%	40.38%	13.46%	7.69%	55	199.36	31.84	52.73%	36.36%	7.27%	3.64%
Hispanic	84	203.19	45.18	27.38%	50%	14.29%	8.33%	86	203.41	38.57	33.72%	48.84%	13.95%	3.49%
Two or More Races	10	211	61.1	10%	30%	40%	20%	11	209.64	49.27	18.18%	54.55%	18.18%	9.09%
White	80	207.85	56.26	16.25%	37.50%	30%	16.25%	79	209.09	51.7	22.78%	37.97%	24.05%	15.19%
Female	92	208.01	54.87	19.57%	39.13%	23.91%	17.39%	93	207.95	46.98	25.81%	45.16%	21.51%	7.53%
Male	140	202.04	44.62	27.86%	44.29%	19.29%	8.57%	144	203.09	39.77	37.50%	40.28%	13.19%	9.03%
LEP	17	200.24	40.06	41.18%	29.41%	23.53%	5.88%	19	200.89	34	47.37%	31.58%	15.79%	5.26%
Special Ed Indicator	45	190.33	26.07	66.67%	20%	11.11%	2.22%	45	191.76	23.04	68.89%	20%	6.67%	4.44%

	F	all 2019 N	IWEA Gro	owth: Mat	h 6+ TX 20	12, Grade	6	Wi	nter 2019	NWEA G	rowth: Ma	th 6+ TX 2	012, Grad	e 6
	Total RIT			STA	AR Project	ed Proficie	ency	Total	RIT		STA	AR Project	ed Proficio	ency
	Students	Score	%	Did Not Meet	App GL	Meets	Masters	Students	Score	%	Did Not Meet	App GL	Meets	Masters
ELVA C. LOBIT MIDDLE SCHOOL	210	210.6	36.97	34.29%	41.90%	21.43%	2.38%	217	213.62	36.15	33.18%	44.24%	19.35%	3.23%
Economic Disadvantage	110	208.03	32.96	41.82%	40%	15.45%	2.73%	115	211.12	32.03	42.61%	38.26%	16.52%	2.61%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	225	67	0%	0%	100%	0%	1	223	52	0%	100%	0%	0%
Asian	4	197.5	25.25	75%	0%	25%	0%	5	202	20.2	80%	0%	20%	0%
Black/African American	62	206.37	29.77	43.55%	43.55%	11.29%	1.61%	61	209.36	28.64	45.90%	40.98%	9.84%	3.28%
Hispanic	75	212.64	39.71	28%	46.67%	22.67%	2.67%	80	215.1	38.46	27.50%	51.25%	18.75%	2.50%
Two or More Races	5	215	46.4	20%	40%	40%	0%	7	219	47	14.29%	42.86%	42.86%	0%
White	63	212.59	40.3	31.75%	38.10%	26.98%	3.17%	63	216.05	40.29	26.98%	41.27%	26.98%	4.76%
Female	92	213.17	41.37	23.91%	50%	25%	1.09%	96	215.51	39.09	27.08%	45.83%	25%	2.08%
Male	118	208.59	33.53	42.37%	35.59%	18.64%	3.39%	121	212.12	33.81	38.02%	42.98%	14.88%	4.13%
LEP	14	202.29	20.43	64.29%	28.57%	7.14%	0%	18	208.11	23.22	50%	44.44%	5.56%	0%
Special Ed Indicator	36	196.92	17.42	77.78%	11.11%	11.11%	0%	41	199.61	17.68	75.61%	14.63%	7.32%	2.44%

	Fa	ll 2019 NW	/EA Grow	/th: Readir	ng 6+ TX 20)17, Grade	Winter 2019 NWEA Growth: Reading 6+ TX 2017, Grade 6								
	Total BIT Score		%	STA	AR Project	ed Proficie	ency	Total	Total		STA	STAAR Projected Proficien			
	Students	RIT Score	70	Did Not Meet	App GL	Meets	Masters	Students	RIT Score	%	Did Not Meet	App GL	Meets	Masters	
ELVA C. LOBIT MIDDLE SCHOOL	204	206.59	42.99	38.24%	35.78%	17.16%	8.82%	211	206.26	38.02	45.97%	33.65%	13.74%	6.64%	
Economic Disadvantage	105	204.31	39.86	43.81%	34.29%	15.24%	6.67%	110	203.1	33.13	56.36%	26.36%	9.09%	8.18%	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	223	78	0%	0%	100%	0%	1	219	63	0%	100%	0%	0%	
Asian	4	183	24.75	75%	0%	25%	0%	5	193.4	26.4	80%	0%	0%	20%	
Black/African American	56	202.98	37.38	50%	25%	19.64%	5.36%	59	202.03	31.68	55.93%	27.12%	15.25%	1.69%	
Hispanic	74	207.11	42.68	33.78%	47.30%	10.81%	8.11%	79	207.8	38.71	43.04%	40.51%	10.13%	6.33%	
Two or More Races	6	220.17	68.67	0%	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	6	220.17	63.17	16.67%	33.33%	16.67%	33.33%	
White	63	209.14	46.51	34.92%	34.92%	19.05%	11.11%	61	207.84	41.33	40.98%	32.79%	18.03%	8.20%	
Female	88	210.09	49.26	28.41%	39.77%	21.59%	10.23%	91	209.57	43.6	35.16%	39.56%	20.88%	4.40%	
Male	116	203.94	38.23	45.69%	32.76%	13.79%	7.76%	120	203.75	33.78	54.17%	29.17%	8.33%	8.33%	
LEP	16	194.94	22.56	56.25%	43.75%	0%	0%	18	197.22	20.67	72.22%	27.78%	0%	0%	
Special Ed Indicator	38	192.5	23.39	71.05%	18.42%	10.53%	0%	41	191.56	18.34	82.93%	9.76%	4.88%	2.44%	